

2/14/2018 Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 1-21 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/14/2018 Page 2 of 22



[Redacted]

Fwd: Questions

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Craig S Wright** <craig@rcjbr.org>

Date: Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 8:56 PM

Subject: RE: Questions

To: Ira K [REDACTED]

Cc: Andrew <asommer@claytonutz.com>

Ira,

Andrew can give you a good idea of the history. The problems we have faced come to knowledge of what we have been doing. The Tax office know that Dave and I have been working on this since 2008.

Next week (Tuesday) I am attending a session to help people at the senior commission/commissioner level in the tax office come to terms with Bitcoin. I have been dragged before the Federal Police to teach them, state police, treasury.

I am being made to know my place.

The software Dave updated and which I have transferred back in OUR company, and it is OURs as you are Dave's heir, was done at a zero tax level. This is all good under the law. Basically, the GST (like a Vat) cancels as it is an international transfer. That stated, they can still use it as a means to make sure I am in my place.

The way this is working is,

- WK to me International and NO GST (all good)
- ME to company GST cancels

In this, I have a GST debt, the company has a gain. On the software from WK to Coin-Exch, I have an overall GST debt

owed of \$3.7 million (give or take). The company gets a return of 3.7 million. The net outcome is zero tax as they cancel.

What the tax office can do is use this and hold payments back to the company. They are trying to fish. They want information that they are not legally entitled to have. So far, they have fabricated documents (and been caught), used half-truths to make it seem as if things are wrong to others and more.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=ccbe4c253f&jsver=eqR4NK8aFo8.en.&view=pt&msg=161928d4dd12fa62&search=inbox&siml=161928d4dd12...> 1/21

2/14/2018 Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 1-21 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/14/2018 Page 3 of 22

One issue they have is that I will be reinvesting all of what I get for now. This means I do not pay taxes as I will be taking the gain and spending it exclusively on deductible areas that will grow the business. I live on an income less than half my staff but it is enough. What does matter is that by doing this, we can create something.

I will send you a timeline of events this weekend.

I do not know what your views are, but you will learn some more of Dave and I. I still do a lot of work with Casinos, Sport Betting and other gaming firms. Some of this is not really legal within the US, hence Panama. Much of that secrecy comes from the fact that the US government would have closed what we did down as well if they could have, gaming would have been a great excuse to kill off Bitcoin. The thing is, it paid the bills. I do not gamble myself at all, but the guys who run these sites pay well and we needed funds.

Dave took the 2 million lines of code that had in 2010 and transformed these into a documented set of over 6 million lines of code. I have sent the software analysis to you already.

I have told you I would answer anything, but it is perhaps time I start just telling you everything.

Craig

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 7:37 PM
To: Craig S Wright
Subject: Re: Questions

i'd better get some sleep.. it's 5:30am here.

goodnight.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 5:35 AM, Ira K [REDACTED] wrote:
alright, thank you.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 5:33 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

Ira, when I offered to tell you everything and involve you, I was serious.

I have told the solicitor (attorney) that you are able to ask anything. I do mean that.

Not just half-truths that others with agendas will state, but ANYTHING.

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 7:32 PM
To: Craig S Wright
Subject: Re: Questions

alright, thanks for explaining things.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 5:31 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

You will have it in the morning.

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 7:30 PM
To: Craig S Wright
Subject: Re: Questions

yes, thanks.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 5:29 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

I will put a spread sheet together that lets you see the impact of taking money early vs later tomorrow if this is OK?

Yearly amounts

500k, 1mill,... ,4 million.

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 7:20 PM
To: Craig S Wright
Subject: Re: Questions

Would it be possible to sell just 1 year of my holdings and keep the rest?

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 5:15 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

And if it does work (and I believe it will) we change the world in a manner that has not been seen for a long time – even more than the Internet

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 7:05 PM
To: Craig S Wright
Subject: Re: Questions

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=ccbe4c253f&jsver=eqR4NK8aFo8.en.&view=pt&msg=161928d4dd12fa62&search=inbox&siml=161928d4dd12...> 3/21

2/14/2018 Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 1-21 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/14/2018 Page 5 of 22

If I stay the course Dave's estate is guaranteed 8 million in 2016?

or is that with risk?

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 4:58 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

He ran W&K

Uyen only started to help in Dec 2012 and we never completed moving things.

I moved everything in 2011 to Dave as I needed the development to be at "arms length". I could not be directly involved in it

I thought Dave would live forever. I know, a bad error.

From: Ira K [mailto:████████████████████]
Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 6:52 PM

To: Craig S Wright
Subject: Re: Questions

Why did you choose to let him hold a separate bitcoin wallet that you didn't have access to?

I thought all these assets belonged to W&K.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 4:46 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

Denariuz will license from Coin-Exch.

The license will be paid in shares and the company will be owned by Coin-Exch over time. Trying to do it in a manner that is internationally tax advantageous.

Basically, I am not avoiding tax, but trying to make sure that we create a structure like Google uses to make the amount we pay as small as possible.

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 6:45 PM
To: Craig S Wright
Subject: Re: Questions

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=cbe4c253f&jsver=eqR4NK8aFo8.en.&view=pt&msg=161928d4dd12fa62&search=inbox&siml=161928d4dd12...> 4/21

2/14/2018 Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 1-21 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/14/2018 Page 6 of 22

and Denariuz?

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 4:43 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

Ira,

I do not know what you have been led to believe. But I am not trying to take anything from Dave's estate.

The eLearning program is to create a new form of MooC. The idea is to have large scale adaptive learning to the world. Nearly free.

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 6:32 PM
To: Craig S Wright
Subject: Re: Questions

I'm certainly not going to do anything to stifle the growth of the business you and he worked so hard at.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 4:14 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

Ira,

I have sold all the BTC that I plan to sell for now. In doing what we wanted to do, Dave and I arranged for the sale of around 500,000 BTC so that we could have access to Core Banking software. The rest that I hold are in trust. The terms of that trust are not met as yet and hence if I was to break it, I would also cause the tax liability to fall and that would result in over 50% of the total being owed in taxes – a result that would drop the price to about nothing and leave nothing. I will not do that. I will not collapse years of work for anyone or anything.

Dave and I decided to start Coin-Exch so that we could lock in some of the value. When we started planning this, it was late 2012. We locked in the value of the software based on the price of BTC then, which was less than now and if it was a year later we would have been smarter, but we took the option to cash out. That was Dave. If we had waited even 3 months the value would have been 5 times more.

No, I am not doing this as I think it is going to make me a trillionaire. I am working to make a system that is fraud resistant. One that does not allow printing money and fractional reserve banking. No inflation and no federal reserve BS.

If you read the terms of the Judgement from the court, I did not receive Dave's Bitcoin. I accepted the software Dave was developing for me. We had already exchanged this before he died. It is software that I started working on in 2003. Dave completed it for me after we split things up. He did this contracting other people.

I locked in the R&D amounts based on Dave's convincing me that was best. I am willing to take risks far more than him, but to me, this will work or I will die trying.

I thought Dave just wanted to have some time to work less. That he was planning on taking some time off and doing some relaxing and travel. He told me repeatedly that he was fine. He said the VA Hospital was covered as he was a vet. He said that he was all good. He wanted some cash to be able to do some other things he planned and we did talk about the exoframe idea. I convinced him that we could make it to Oct 2014 when if he needed we could take some of the R&D money that comes as a rebate on what has been expended and we could both spend a little time on us time.

I told him again and again that the wait is worth it. I gave him the figures and stated that he could have sold the amount I would leave him with. I wanted the software. That is what this is and always was about for me. With it, I can complete what I have worked on for 11 years now.

My eggs are not all in one basket. They are now tied to the Research funding as well.

I did the court action to ensure that the value was accepted. Not to force you, the estate etc into giving me anything, but to ensure I had a value against the software that I had received already.

I assume you know nothing of how Dave funded W&K?

I sell myself to gaming companies. I am a security professional, cryptographer and programmer. I wrote software and designed systems that created and became Lasseter's OnLine Casino. I worked with Playboy Casino. I did coding for Centrebet, Sporting Bet, BetLife etc.

In 2010 I gave the source code for a good number of online casinos to Dave. Hence Panama. I put him in contact with the people at Playboy. He used this code to fund the work, research etc.

I have files of Dave's that I cannot access now. These are TrueCrypt partitions. We held backups for the other, but no passwords. I cannot access these. If I cannot find a key or a password on these, I do not believe that I can on yours. Dave was smarter than I was in some ways. He broke his wallets into many 50BTC sized addresses. I left several large addresses that are not easy to move without making the world notice.

Dave estate is only worth 12 million IF you want to cash out right now.

IF you stay, you get the value AND the shares.

I will list these in coming years and THEN they are worth more.

"Craig's worth valued at \$500 million"

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=ccbe4c253f&jsver=eqR4NK8aFo8.en.&view=pt&msg=161928d4dd12fa62&search=inbox&siml=161928d4dd12...> 6/21

2/14/2018 Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 1-21 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/14/2018 Page 8 of 22

There is a trust as I noted. Less than 200,000 Bitcoin remain. We need 100,000 to make the bank idea work. I cannot touch these yet even if I want to. And right now, I do. That stated, I will not move more now in any event. Dave held more and MtGox held some. I see both those sources as lost. Dave's drives are a one day possible. Each year, it is possible to crack more than double the key length that was previously possible. What I know of Dave's passwords places them at around 80 bits. We can expect them to be worth trying to crack in 10-12 years. Spending the next 5 years on this is going to cover 5-10% of the possibilities at a large cost. This is how crypto works.

What company owns right now is:

- Software – incl source code and perpetual licenses valued at over \$50 million.
- Intellectual Property, design, codes etc
- Research claims

If we reinvest the Research rebates, we get 45% back in the following year. The program has been approved for three years and locked in using an advance finding. This means, if we take the existing spend, we will get the following each October:

- 2014 \$12 million base
- 2015 \$12 million base plus the 45% from 2014 reinvested = 17.4 million
- 2016 \$12 million base plus 45 % of the 17.4 Million (prior year reinvested) = \$20 Million

That comes to around \$50 million cash over three years. Of this, I will drive 30 million back into the company and leave the last return as a wait and see if this all fails.

In 2016, Dave's estate gets 8 Million PLUS still has a share of the company.

IF you want, I will arrange that you can pull out. In this case, the following occurs:

- 2014 \$12 million base – 4 million to Dave's Estate after costs plus 4 million from share sale (well I will try).
- 2015 \$12 million base plus the 45% from 2014 remaining million reinvested = 17.4 million and 4 million to Dave's Estate after costs.
- 2016 \$12 million base plus 45 % of the 17.4 Million (prior year reinvested) = \$20 Million with 4 million to Dave's Estate after costs.

Here, in 2014, 2015 and 2016 the estate gets \$4 million a year, but that is it.

If you do the latter option – I will raise funds to cover the shortfall using your shares, so I end in the same position expenditure wise.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=ccbe4c253f&jsver=eqR4NK8aFo8.en.&view=pt&msg=161928d4dd12fa62&search=inbox&siml=161928d4dd12...> 7/21

2/14/2018 Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 1-21 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/14/2018 Page 9 of 22

You can be a part of it and get paid. I offered this already.

You are talking of greed to me. Right now, I have told you that you can cash out at 4 million a year for three years or see where this goes and have a payment of over 8 million plus a large share of what will be a listed company. That is with risk. 12 Million without.

W&K used my software to fund everything.

If you think I will give up the software I have received from this and pull what Dave and I have worked years to put together you are mad. I will make this open source before I end it. If that happens, nobody makes anything. Not me, not you , no one.

I am working on this no matter what. The amounts are locked in ONLY because of Dave. He wanted certainty. He wanted to make sure we had something now. I did this and have structured it so that we have this money now. We did this early and the increase in BTC has been a loss really.

The software (including banking software) has cost over 50 million alone. When I contracted for it, it was when BTC was worth \$116.

IF Dave and I did not start this when we did and waited 6 months (not that Dave could have) we could have used 10% of the Bitcoin we held to do this. So, NO I will not move more now. If you get access to Dave's drives, then you can move those. I am not in a rush. In the next few months, the company will get money in AUD\$ and I will STILL not be taking it other than to repay bills used in this process.

When Dave and I planned this, the ENTIRE holding, his, mine and that in trust was worth 20 million.

What Craig is worth in 10 years is up in the air, but I WILL drive 99% of this (my share) back into the project. What you do is your choice. I will negotiate this, I will allow you to work with it as Dave's heir, but I WILL NOT give it up.

So Ira, the simple thing is do you want to be a part of it or to be paid out. I have spent the money. If you want, fight me and have a copy of software that will be of little use without the parts we have completed since.

ALL I have is in this. EVERYTHING. If you want to not be a part, then I will provide Dave's estate with its due. If you want to be a small part, then be a small part, if you want to be all in, then work as if you are.

There are no other options. Unless you can access Dave's drive, then the BTC Dave held remain locked away. The ones I spent in doing this are spent and there is NO way to unspend them. The ones in trust are there for a purpose

and I selected a jurisdiction that cannot be forced to give them over. Not even if the US government tries to make me.

So, as I have been saying, do you want to be a part of this? This is either as a silent shareholder or as a director. I have offered both. Or do you want to argue the point? There is no more to get and if you know my history, I will make sure that everything ends up completely worthless before I lose what I am doing.

Ave did not want to take \$4million a year from this. He wanted something to live on. He never asked for more. I am not taking that much, but that is YOUR choice. There are options, but one thing I will not do is give over the software or end this.

Dave bullshitted me about how he was doing and worked himself ragged. He lied to me about what he needed. There is NO WAY that I am stopping this now. I owe this to Dave and I will NOT give it up even to make Ramona's life simpler and I love her.

So, do you want to know more and be involved or do you want to argue it and I will end up making it completely open source if I lose and then in place of the share I agreed with Dave you can have 100% of \$0.

Craig

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 3:38 PM

To: Craig Wright
Subject: Re: Questions

Please explain to me what is stopping you from selling some?

Just because you think it will be worth trillions?

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 1:37 AM, Ira K [REDACTED] wrote:

It's not a matter of not believing in your abilities. I absolutely do.

But that doesn't mean something shouldn't be taken off the table.

Just like trading stocks, you have to know when to take a profit

and let the rest ride. No need for all eggs in one basket.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 1:33 AM, Craig Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

And yes. Worst case

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=ccbe4c253f&jsver=eqR4NK8aFo8.en.&view=pt&msg=161928d4dd12fa62&search=inbox&siml=161928d4dd12...> 9/21

2/14/2018 Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 1-21 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/14/2018 Page 11 of 22

On 23/04/2014 3:31 pm, "Ira K" [REDACTED] wrote:

I am trying. But from what I understand so far, you are placing his value in a gambled situation and worst case scenario, 12 million?

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 1:28 AM, Craig Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

Before you go off on rash paths.... Try ans understand what. Is therre

On 23/04/2014 3:24 pm, "Ira K" [REDACTED] wrote:

54k of coins could be mined in a few months with your operation if you still have it running.

Or your new venture's success will recoup this payment.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 1:20 AM, Ira K [REDACTED] wrote:

I don't understand your hesitancy. You know he was worth the amount I am asking.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 1:19 AM, Ira K [REDACTED] wrote:

I told you, I don't want to end up on the same sword as Dave.

He had faith. It doesn't always pan out.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 1:18 AM, Craig Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

Then have faith

On 23/04/2014 3:17 pm, "Ira K" [REDACTED] wrote:

He is worth more than that.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 1:16 AM, Craig Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

Then take the 12 million and go

On 23/04/2014 3:12 pm, "Ira K" [REDACTED] wrote:

Look where it got Dave by holding on for too long.

Timing is Everything.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 1:11 AM, Craig Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

We locked them into cash payment s

On 23/04/2014 3:09 pm, "Ira K" [REDACTED] wrote:

I simply want the fair share that Dave earned.

You told me you guys had 1 million bitcoins between you.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=ccbe4c253f&jsver=eqR4NK8aFo8.en.&view=pt&msg=161928d4dd12fa62&search=inbox&siml=161928d4dd1...> 10/21

2/14/2018 Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 1-21 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/14/2018 Page 12 of 22

I was only asking for 54,910. and you could keep all
his drives which may contain more.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 1:04 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

Would you prefer to know what you own or to argue it

You want assets list, balance sheets etc, then ask. You ARE a major shareholder.

You want to be a director and know it intimately – ask I have offered

You want to pull out – then do so and I will pay you out based on what Dave and I had been arranging.

If you want to stay, then do so and come to know more of what Dave and I did.

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]

Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 2:56 PM

To: Craig S Wright

Subject: Re: Questions

"You have the 40% of the refunds – I get any upside."

Can you explain that to me?

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 12:54 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

In 10 years I believe this will be 100 times bigger.

But I am serious, if you want to cash out, I will arrange something on the cash

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]

Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 2:54 PM

To: Craig S Wright

Subject: Re: Questions

Why not take some off the table?

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 12:53 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

You agree to that – I will have the lawyers draft something for you to have reviewed

I have NOT cashed out

I will not

From: Ira K [mailto:[\[REDACTED\]](mailto:)]
Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 2:51 PM

To: Craig S Wright
Subject: Re: Questions

I am open to arranging distribution of 10 million a year for 3 years,
but not through a new untested business that you just stated "worst
case scenarios 4 million".

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 12:48 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

This is WHY wed the software transfer!

It locked in payments starting in Oct this year of 10 million a year for 3 years – get it now!

That was Dave – his idea

We turn the BTC into R&D grants as cash!

YOU ARE his estate – I have added you!

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]

Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 2:47 PM

To: Craig S Wright

Subject: Re: Questions

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=ccbe4c253f&jsver=eqR4NK8aFo8.en.&view=pt&msg=161928d4dd12fa62&search=inbox&siml=161928d4dd1...> 12/21

2/14/2018 Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 1-21 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/14/2018 Page 14 of 22

Dave would prefer to lock in secured gains that have already been made.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 12:46 AM, Ira K < [REDACTED] > wrote:

There could be a new alternate crypto-currency that comes out and steals the thunder from Bitcoin and the new business goes bankrupt.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 12:44 AM, Ira K <[REDACTED]> wrote:

It wouldn't matter if I had 100%. What if the business doesn't fly?

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 12:43 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

Ira – look at the balance sheet – you have 40% of the total worth in it

Do you get that?

NOT 10%

From: Ira K [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 2:41 PM

To: Craig S Wright
Subject: Re: Questions

I understand, but that is water under the bridge. We can't do anything about that now.

But we can provide his estate with fair compensation for his assistance. If he was 50% partner, or even 33% partner.. he would deserve more than 10% in a unproven business and undisclosed coins.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 12:38 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

One issue that you have been fed half-truths on.

And yes, there is a lot that is messy from the time. I had no idea Dave was as sick as he was. He told me he was on top of it all. I believed him.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=ccbe4c253f&jsver=eqR4NK8aFo8.en.&view=pt&msg=161928d4dd12fa62&search=inbox&siml=161928d4dd1...> 13/21

2/14/2018 Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 1-21 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/14/2018 Page 15 of 22

He said it was just a small operation and he would be up again soon, that we would present a paper in June that year. So, yes, lots that was missed.

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 2:35 PM

To: Craig S Wright
Subject: Re: Questions

Like I said, that is just one issue.. there are so many more.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 12:33 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

Check:

- Otto
- Otto Maurer

It is the font

Mine is an image

All that makes it a signature is PGP

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]

Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 2:30 PM

To: Craig S Wright

Subject: Re: Questions

It doesn't matter if you create a new font from scratch that looks exactly like the one on the contract.

Each contract has signatures with variations. It is crystal clear to see.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 12:23 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

I will need to dig through old emails and documents, but I can show it is a PDF type font.

<http://www.adobe.com/content/dam/Adobe/en/products/acrobat/pdfs/adobe-acrobat-xi-esign-pdf-file-tutorial-ue.pdf>

That will take time and I will need to look up what font was in there when Dave did this. I will also dig up the PGP signature for you. Dave's public key is out there on the web if you want to validate it.

I assume you know that Dave would not give ANYONE his private key – that includes me.

Andrew is a partner at Clayton Utz. I do not believe he will lie- for all people say about lawyers (sorry Andrew). Ask him – he has received the PGP signed versions.

<http://www.claytonutz.com/>

I will put all this together for you by the weekend. I will show the font (as noted I need to check what it was as I do not know what Dave's system defaulted to. I am really sorry you have been lead to believe this is something more than it was, but will this help?

Craig

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 2:15 PM

To: Craig S Wright
Subject: Re: Questions

It doesn't look like a type font.

There are 2 separate contracts with the same style handwriting, but with slight variations.

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 12:13 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

Yes.

The PGP key is the signature.

The PDF just adds it.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=ccbe4c253f&jsver=eqR4NK8aFo8.en.&view=pt&msg=161928d4dd12fa62&search=inbox&siml=161928d4dd1...> 15/21

2/14/2018 Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 1-21 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/14/2018 Page 17 of 22

From: Ira K [mailto:ira.k@rcjbr.org]

Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 2:10 PM

To: Craig S Wright

Subject: Re: Questions

Are you saying that the signature was just computer generated, a type font?

Ira

On Wed, Apr 23, 2014 at 12:05 AM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

The document was signed using PGP. That is the digital signature. The other was a PDF thing that gets applied. It was and never was handwritten. The signature is the PGP signing.

Dave's interest is in founder shares in Coin-Exch.

The sale of the software and its use leads to an Research & Development refund into the company. Coin-Exch receives the moved the software and uses it for an R&D claim. That is why it was done. This is 45% of the expense.

That is what is obtained from this. That is what the ATO do not like.

Craig

From: Ira K [mailto:[\[REDACTED\]](mailto:)]

Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 1:59 PM

To: Craig S Wright

Subject: Re: Questions

Craig,

It's not about information that they fed me. It's about contracts that you signed and agreements that don't seem logical.

I don't understand why Dave would make that agreement with you for a business divorce. Why would a successful partnership suddenly separate and leave one partner with everything of accountable value and the other(Dave) with only 10% in a future venture and a undisclosed amount of Bitcoins? And the contract (CEWK01 and CEWK03) is signed the same month of his death and without his real signature. Nor do I believe it to be a digital signature.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=ccbe4c253f&jsver=eqR4NK8aFo8.en.&view=pt&msg=161928d4dd12fa62&search=inbox&siml=161928d4dd1...> 16/21

2/14/2018 [Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 1-21 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/14/2018 Page 18 of 22](#) [Gmail - Fwd: Questions](#)

It doesn't even come close to his handwriting That is obviously a females signature. Things just don't make sense.

Ira

On Tue, Apr 22, 2014 at 11:48 PM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

Ira,

Dave died. I did the actions to make sure that the court signed off on what Dave and I planned.

The reason for the transfer is to use the R&D tax credit on the value of the software Dave and I developed.

"I thought you appreciated Dave's contribution."

More than I could express. This was not about screwing Dave or his estate, it was ensuring that we had something solid as Dave died. I did that action as accountants etc advised it was necessary.

I think they have misled you as to what this is about. There is no GST (tax) on the software Dave transferred, but it is being used by the ATO as an excuse to try and not pay other amounts that are owed.

Craig

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]

Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 1:37 PM

To: Craig S Wright

Subject: Re: Questions

Craig,

The information I have to work with is what you have told me and the documents from the ATO office. From those documents it appears clear to see a systematic transfer of assets out of W&K back to you.

Up until April 15 I was a complete believer in what you were telling me. But you never mentioned any of the actions you were taking against W&K prior to contacting us.

We could start by going step by step through the questionnaire the ATO sent me. But I really didn't think you would want to get into those details. I thought you appreciated Dave's contribution. Helping you get the government funding to start it all, etc.

If you have more information that I'm missing you are more than welcome to email it to me.

Regards,

Ira

On Tue, Apr 22, 2014 at 11:02 PM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

Ira,

I do not know what you have been told, but I think there is a need to go into detail.

Andrew (CC'd) is a tax partner with Clayton Utz. I am happy for you to ask him anything. This is permission for that. I am sure he will give better truth than the tax office. At least more of it and without filtering things.

Dave signed electronically. I have not ever stated that these are his. If I had wanted to do that I would have dug up copies from the old company filings. The ATO and the court had the digitally signed documents. There is a wrapper as the signature.

Dave held his BTC, not me for him.

I do not know what Dave's resignation is. You mention a resignation, I do not know of one. I know what we planned – I not know all of what was occurring in WK.

Craig

From: Ira K [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, 23 April 2014 11:49 AM

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=ccbe4c253f&jsver=eqR4NK8aFo8.en.&view=pt&msg=161928d4dd12fa62&search=inbox&siml=161928d4dd1...> 18/21

2/14/2018 Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 1-21 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/14/2018 Page 20 of 22

To: Craig S Wright
Subject: Re: Questions

Craig,

Just as Dave believed in your vision and abilities, I share that same belief. There is no doubt in my mind that you are capable of achieving the goals you have set. And I am still in awe of your brilliance.

However, since receiving the documents from the ATO and spending more time reviewing them, I feel like there are questionable discrepancies in the contracts between you and W&K such as Dave's signatures, his resignation, transfer of all accountable value, Uyen's role of Director, BAA projects, etc. No need to go into details.

I can understand how you may have felt pressured to take actions to secure the business you and Dave started. And the last thing I want to do is stifle the growth of it. But I do believe we need to remedy the lopsided contractual exchange.

As the Executor for the Estate of the Director at W&K I propose we reach an agreement that Dave himself would approve.

Good sir, my request equates to peace and prosperity for all:

1. Return 17% of the 323k bitcoins to Dave's estate.
2. Retain only half our current holdings in Coin-Exch.
3. Remain friends that avoid all taxing troubles henceforth.

And I would still welcome you to attempt gaining access to Dave's drives.

If you are able to find his bitcoin files I would gladly give you half

and invest Dave's other half into your new business.

Sincerely,

Ira

<https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=ccbe4c253f&jsver=eqR4NK8aFo8.en.&view=pt&msg=161928d4dd12fa62&search=inbox&siml=161928d4dd1...> 19/21

2/14/2018 Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 1-21 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/14/2018 Page 21 of 22

On Tue, Apr 15, 2014 at 9:41 PM, Ira K <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Sure, that sounds good to me.

Thanks.

On Tue, Apr 15, 2014 at 9:28 PM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

I would love you to be involved.

How about we add you once we get the tax audit out of the way and also get directors insurance for you?

From: Ira K [mailto:[REDACTED]]

Sent: Wednesday, 16 April 2014 11:17 AM

To: Craig S Wright

Subject: Re: Questions

Honestly I don't know. I'm not sure what obligations must be met as a director?

If it jallows me to follow what's going on in the business, that would be interesting.

But if you feel it's in my best interest not to be involved with it because it might expose me to legal liabilities, then I will certainly understand.

Thanks,

Ira

On Tue, Apr 15, 2014 at 8:48 PM, Craig S Wright <craig@rcjbr.org> wrote:

Hi Andrew,

Can you help Ira with this please.

It is hostile everywhere right now. That stated, Dave

...

[Message clipped]



Este documento es...
👍 Útil 👎 No útil

